Previously Agreed Saving

DEPARTMENT: ENVIRONMENT AND REGENERATION SAVINGS - BUDGET PROCESS

Panel	Ref	Description of Saving		2017/18 £000	2018/19 £000	2019/20 £000	Risk Analysis Deliverability	Risk Analysis Reputational Impact	Type of Saving (see key)
2015/18			Waste disposal						
		Description	Increased recycling rate by 3% following education and	250			Medium	Medium	SNS1
			communications activity funded by WCSS. This will be						
			driven by the incentivisation and education programme due						
			to commence in March 2014.						
		Service Implication	None						
		Staffing Implications	None						
		Business Plan	None						
		implications							
		Impact on other	None						
		departments							
		Equalities Implications	None						
		TOM Implications	None						

Hage 3

Deferred Savings proposal

DEPARTMENT: ENVIRONMENT AND REGENERATION SAVINGS - BUDGET PROCESS

Panel	Ref		Description of Saving	2017/18 £000	2018/19 £000	2019/20 £000	Risk Analysis Deliverability	Risk Analysis Reputational Impact	Type of Saving (see key)
2015/18		Service/Section Description	Waste disposal Increased recycling rate by 3% following the introduction of service changes proposed as part of the Phase C Lot 1 contract. It is expected that the introduction of alternate weekly collections of residual waste will drive up recycling activity and increase participation in the food waste service (which will continue as a weekly collection service)			250	Medium	Medium	SNS1
Page 38		Service Implication Staffing Implications Business Plan implications Impact on other departments Equalities Implications TOM Implications	None Improved recycling performance None None The phase C procurement is reflected in the TOM as is the drive to increase and maximise recycling opportunities through the contract.						

Savings Type

SI1 Income - increase in current	level of charges
----------------------------------	------------------

SI2 Income - increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service

SS1 Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency

SS2 Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service

SNS1 Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency

SNS2 Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service

SP1 Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency

SP2 Procurement / Third Party arrangements - deletion/reduction in service

SG1 Grants: Existing service funded by new grant

SG2 Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant

SPROP Reduction in Property related costs

Previously Agreed Savings

Budget Process	Ref		Description of Saving		2017/18 £000	2018/19 £000	Risk Analysis Deliverability	Risk Analysis Reputational Impact	Type of Saving (see key)
2015/18	D&BC	Service/Section	Building & Development Control						
		Description	Review of service through shared service discussions with						
			neighbouring boroughs - delaying the imlemntation of the		569		Medium	Medium	SS2
			2016/17 savings to 2017/18.						
		Service Implication	To be determined through shared service discussions						
		Staffing Implications	To be determined through shared service discussions						
		Business Plan	To be determined through shared service discussions						
		implications							
ر ک		Impact on other	None.						
Page		departments							
Ð		Equalities	None.						
39		Implications							
9		TOM Implications	In line with the TOM.						

Budget Process	Ref		Description of Saving			2018/19 £000	Risk Analysis Deliverability	Risk Analysis Reputational Impact	Type of Saving (see key)
<u>Alterna</u>	Alternative Savings Proposals								
	D&BC1	Service/Section Description Service Implication Staffing Implications	Building and Development Control Fast track of householder planning applications New processes to be implemented and securely embedded None. Sufficient staff will have to be retained to service the concept. Failure to deliver properly and the service will not be used thereby eliminating the income generation.		55		Low	Low	SI2
Page 40		Business Plan implications Impact on other departments Equalities Implications TOM Implications	Increased income None None In line with TOM proposals						

Budget Process	Ref		Description of Saving	2016/17 £000	2017/18 £000	2018/19 £000	Risk Analysis Deliverability	Risk Analysis Reputational Impact	Type of Saving (see key)
	D&BC2	Service/Section	Building and Development Control						
		Description	Growth in PPA and Pre-app income		50		Medium	Low	SI2
		Service Implication	Responsiveness to service requests should not change.						
		Staffing	As the service/income improves extra staffing will be						
		Implications	needed and funded from a proportion of that extra income.						
		Business Plan implications	Increased income						
		Impact on other	Future Merton could also need to adjust staffing						
		departments	accordingly						
		Equalities	none						
Pag		Implications							
g		TOM Implications	In line with TOM proposals						
0	D&BC3	Service/Section	Building and Development Control						
4		Description	Commercialisation of building control		50		High	Low	SI2
		Service Implication	This has so far proven difficult mainly due to recruitment						
			issues						
		Staffing	Will need an invest to save with any additional staff funded						
		Implications	by some of the increased income generation						
		Business Plan	Increased income						
		implications							
		Impact on other	None . Expanded team could better support other internal						
		departments	users						
		Equalities	None						
		Implications							
		TOM Implications	Integral part of the TOM for BC						

Budget Process	Ref		Description of Saving		2017/18 £000	2018/19 £000	Risk Analysis Deliverability	Risk Analysis Reputational Impact	Type of Saving (see key)
Page 4	D&BC4	Service/Section Description Service Implication Staffing Implications Business Plan implications Impact on other departments Equalities Implications TOM Implications	Building and Development Control Deletion of 1 FTE (manager or deputy) 25% reduction in investigation capability. New processes required to reduce the need for investigations. reduction of 1 FTE New performance measures will need to be agreed Reduced support for joint enforcement investigations none New eforms and investigation criteria will need to be		45		Low	High	SS2
42	D&BC5	Service/Section Description Service Implication Staffing Implications Business Plan implications Impact on other departments Equalities Implications TOM Implications	embedded in accordance with the TOM Building and Development Control Eliminate the Planning Duty service (both face to face and dedicated phone line) Callers will still try to contact officers by other means in any event, so there will have to be a clear understanding and agreed supported message that such calls will not be dealt with. Web site self service improvements will be required Reduce by 1FTE none Less assistance for pre app enquiries (unless charged) reduced assistance for all residents in understanding the planning process Reduced customer care, contrary to the general aims of TOM		35		Low	High	SS2

Budget Process	Ref		Description of Saving	2016/17 £000	2017/18 £000		Risk Analysis Deliverability	Risk Analysis Reputational Impact	Type of Saving (see key)
Pag	D&BC6	Service/Section Description Service Implication Staffing Implications Business Plan implications Impact on other departments Equalities Implications TOM Implications	Building and Development Control Stop sending consultation letters on applications and erect site notices only Site notices will be mandatory so failsafe system to be devised none None Those without web site connections will find it difficult to search for application details None		10		Low	Medium	SNS2
le 43	D&BC7	Service/Section Description Service Implication Staffing Implications Business Plan implications Impact on other departments Equalities Implications TOM Implications	Building and Development Control Shared service collaboration with Kingston/Sutton Combined analysis of service delivery should result in further additional income streams from PPA's and Pre-apps and more efficient working practices across the service Additional service demand may need more staff. Efficiencies should result in less staff. Increased income, PPA's and pre apps None None Significant progress on one of the main TOM strategies			50	Low	Low	SI2

Budget Process	Ref		Description of Saving	2016/17 £000	2017/18 £000	2018/19 £000	Risk Analysis Deliverability	Risk Analysis Reputational Impact	Type of Saving (see key)
	D&BC8	Service/Section	Building and Development Control						
		Description	Review of service through shared service discussions			274	High	Medium	SI1; SI2; SS1;
									SS2;SNS1; SNS2
		Service Implication	To be determined through shared service discussions						
		Staffing Implications	To be determined through shared service discussions						
		Business Plan	To be determined through shared service discussions						
		implications							
ရွိ		Impact on other	None.						
Page		departments	Nana						
		Equalities Implications	None.						
44		TOM Implications	In line with the TOM.						
-			TOTAL	0	245	324			

Savings Type

SI1	Income - increase in current level of charges
-----	---

SI2 Income - increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service

SS1 Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency

Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service

SNS1 Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency

SNS2 Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service

SP1 Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency

SP2 Procurement / Third Party arrangements - deletion/reduction in service

SG1 Grants: Existing service funded by new grant

SG2 Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant

SPROP Reduction in Property related costs